

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,480	07/11/2003	Arvind Kumar	42P16125	5294
452/0 75500 06/12/2008 INTEL/BLACE, T 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			EXAMINER	
			ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/618.480 KUMAR, ARVIND Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner SHAWKI S. ISMAIL 2155 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/618,480
Art Unit: 2155

## DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

This communication is responsive to the amendment received on December 28, 2007.

Claims 1, 7-8 and 14-15 have been amended.

Claims 1-22 are pending further examination

## The New Grounds of Rejection

Applicant's amendment and arguments received on December 28, 007 have been fully considered, however, they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1-22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick et al., (hereinafter referred to as McCormick) U.S. Patent No. 6,421,709 in view of Horvitz et al., (Hereinafter referred to as Horvitz) U.S. Patent Publication No. 2050132006 A1.
- As to claim 1, McCormick teaches a method of configuring automatic responses to incoming email messages, comprising:

enabling configuration of a plurality of automatic responses, each of the plurality of automatic responses tailored for a type of the incoming email messages(refer to Fig. 1, col. 7, lines 55-67, filtering emails that are included in a specific filter list);

Application/Control Number: 10/618,480
Art Unit: 2155

examining the incoming email messages to determine the type (col. 7, lines 55-67, incoming email is examined); and

responding to the incoming email messages with one of the plurality of automatic responses, the one of the plurality of automatic responses selected according to the type of the incoming email message (col. 7, lines 55-67, incoming email is compared to a set of filters and processing the email based on the rule associated with the filter or passing the email to the user's inbox for immediate retrieval.)

Although McCormick teaches automatic response to email messages, McCormick does not explicitly teach wherein the automatic response are in the form of email messages.

However, Horvitz teaches automatic responses in the form of email messages that are sent to the sender from the recipient's machine to notify the sender of the status of the recipient (refer to Fig. 2 and 14, paragraph 77).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teaching of Horvitz into the invention of McCormick in order to automatically send email response messages to the sender. This will enable the sender to be aware of the status of the recipient and get a better idea when to expect a response from the recipient.

As to claim 2, McCormick teaches the method according to Claim 1 wherein examining
the incoming email messages further comprises flagging the email messages according to the
type (col. 4, lines 14-46).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,480 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

 As to claim 3, McCormick teaches the method according to Claim 1 wherein the type includes a plurality of internal mail types (col. 4, lines 14-46).

- As to claim 4, McCormick teaches the method according to Claim 1 wherein the type includes one of an internal mail type and an external mail type (col. 4, lines 14-46).
- As to claim 5, McCormick teaches the method according to Claim 4 wherein the external
  mail type comprises at least one of a plurality of defined domains (col. 4, lines 14-46).
- As to claim 6, McCormick teaches the method according to Claim 4 wherein the internal mail type comprises at least one of a plurality of defined internal organizations (col. 4, lines 14-46).
- 11. As to claim 7, McCormick teaches the method according to Claim 1 wherein enabling configuration of the plurality of automated responses further comprises enabling users to configure the plurality of automated responses via a user interface (col. 4, lines 12-16).
- 12. Claims 8-22 do not teach or define any new limitation above claims 1-7; therefore, they are rejected for similar reasons.
- 13. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Art Unit: 2155

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been fully considered however they are

deemed to be moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shawki S Ismail whose telephone number is 571-272-3985. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh

Najjar can be reached at 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shawki S Ismail/

Examiner, Art Unit 2155

June 7, 2008

/saleh najjar/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2155